



ARUNACHAL PRADESH
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER
LOHIT DISTRICT:::TEZU

NO.ELN/GE-5/2019-20/ 3990

Dated Tezu, the 19th June, 2019.

To,

✓ The Chief Electoral Officer,
Arunachal Pradesh,
ITANAGAR.

SUB : SUBMISSION OF CANDIDATES SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES.

Sir,

I am submitting herewith the candidates scrutiny report on accounts of election expenses in Annexure-21 along with summary report by the contesting candidates (BJP/INC/ Independent/PPA and JD(S)) in respect of 2-Arunachal East Parliamentary Constituency for favour of your kind information and necessary action please.

Kindly acknowledged receipt of the same.

Encl : As stated above.

Yours faithfully,


19 106 1 13

Electoral Registration Officer,
For Dist.Election Officer,
Lohit District:Tezu.

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTA BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: - 02 - Arunachal East

(c) State and District: **Arunachal Pradesh, Lohit District**

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **24-06-2019**

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **5 (Five)**

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/by-election: **23-05-2019**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **MR. TAPIR GAO, BJP**

SI No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)				Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate and mention names	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Mr. Tapir Gao (Bharatiya Janata Party)	24-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs. 27,11,507.00	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
2	Mr. Lowangcha Wanglat (Indian National Congress)	24-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs. 19,83,970.00	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
3	Mr. C. C. Singpho (Independent)	24-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs. 7,69,330.69	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Mr. Mongol Yomso (People's Party of Arunachal)	24-06-2019	12-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs. 5,00,845.00	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	


 District Election Officer
 Lohit District, Tezpur


5	Mr. Bandedy Mili (Janata Dal (Secular))	24-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs. 5,01,870.00	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	The amount of Rs. 48,75,000/- transferred by the JD(S) party in the personal account of the candidate bearing A/c No. 11875839947 has not been transferred to the dedicated account but expenditure is also not incurred from the amount from that account. However, the said amount has been shown in Part - III of the Abstract as source of fund.
---	---	------------	------------	-----	-----	-----------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

* Col 7 indicates grand total expenses incurred from amounts mainly under col 9 & 10

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, _____

Date: _____

To be forwarded to Election Commission of India through the Chief Electoral Officer of the concerned State/UT



Special Officer
General Deptt. of the DPE&U
Loahi


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Signature of the CEO

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MR. TAPIR GAO Vill - Ruksin, P.O. & P.S. - Pasighat, E/Siang Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	02 - Arunachal East
4	Name of the elected candidate	MR. TAPIR GAO
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	24-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	15-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 27,11,507/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.


District Election Officer
Lohit District, Tezu

18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				The defects has been rectified	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii			N.A.			
iii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -				N.A.	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during th Account Reconciliation Meeting				N.A.	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				N.A.	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				N.A.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				N.A.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				N.A.	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:				Yes  District Election Officer (Name of the DEO) Kannur District	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Certain expenditure recorded in the candidate registers were less when compared with Shadow Observation Register. However the same has been accepted by the candidate and necessary entries have been made in the register during the inspection.

Date:


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MR. LOWANGCHA WANGLAT Vill & P.O. - Borduria, P.S. - Khonsa, Tirap Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	02 - Arunachal East
4	Name of the elected candidate	MR. TAPIR GAO
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	24-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	15-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 19,83,970/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.


District Election Officer
Lohit District, Tezu


18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				The defects has been rectified	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii			N.A.			
iii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -				N.A.	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during th Account Reconciliation Meeting				N.A.	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				N.A.	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				N.A.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				N.A.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				N.A.	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)				Yes	
	Date:				 District Election Officer Lehit District, Tezu (Name of the DEO)	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Certain expenditure recorded in the candidate registers were less when compared with Shadow Observation Register. However the same has been accepted by the candidate and necessary entries have been made in the register during the inspection.

Date:


Signature of the Expenditure Observer



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MR. C. C. SINGPHO Vill - Bordumsa, P.O. & P.S. - Bordumsa, Changlang Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	No
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	02 - Arunachal East
4	Name of the elected candidate	MR. TAPIR GAO
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	24-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	15-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 7,69,330.69
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.


District Election Officer
Lohit District, Tezu

18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect			Yes		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			Yes		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			The defects has been rectified		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:			Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii			N.A.			
iii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period			Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -			N.A.		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during th Account Reconciliation Meeting			N.A.		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice			N.A.		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?			N.A.		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply			N.A.		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation			N.A.		
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:			Yes  Signature District Election Officer (Name of the DEO) Lohit District, Tezu		

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Certain expenditure recorded in the candidate registers were less when compared with Shadow Observation Register. However the same has been accepted by the candidate and necessary entries have been made in the register during the inspection.

Date:


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MR. MONGOL YOMSO Vill - Diking, P.O. & P.S. - Pasighat, East Siang Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	People's Party of Arunachal
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	02 - Arunachal East
4	Name of the elected candidate	MR. TAPIR GAO
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	24-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	12-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 5,00,845/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.


District Election Officer
Lohit District, Tezu

18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				The defects has been rectified	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii			N.A.			
iii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -				N.A.	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during th Account Reconciliation Meeting				N.A.	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				N.A.	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				N.A.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				N.A.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				N.A.	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:				Yes  District Election Officer (Name of the DEO) Lohit District, Tezu	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-
 Certain expenditure recorded in the candidate registers were less when compared with Shadow Observation Register. However the same has been accepted by the candidate and necessary entries have been made in the register during the inspection.

Date: _____


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MR. BANDEY MILI Vill - Acheso, P.O. & P.S. - Anini, U/Dibang Valley Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Janata Dal (Secular)
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	02 - Arunachal East
4	Name of the elected candidate	MR. TAPIR GAO
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	24-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the Account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 5,01,870/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.


District Election Officer
Lohit District, Tezu

18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				No	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				The amount of Rs. 48,75,000/- transferred by the JD(S) party in the personal account of the candidate bearing A/c No. 11875839947 has not been transferred to the dedicated account but expenditure is also not incurred from the amount from that account. However, the said amount has been shown in Part - III of the Abstract as source of fund.	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii		N.A.			
	iii					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized person 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -				N.A.	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during th Account Reconciliation Meeting				N.A.	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				N.A.	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				N.A.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				N.A.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				N.A.	


 District Election Officer
 Lohit District, Tezu

22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  District Election Officer (Name of the DEO) Loni District, Tezu
----	--	---

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Certain expenditure recorded in the candidate registers were less when compared with Shadow Observation Register. However the same has been accepted by the candidate and necessary entries have been made in the register during the inspection. Date:	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
--	--